

Update on Hybrid Detection DWL Study*

G. D. Emmitt

WG on Space-based Lidar Winds

Oxnard, CA

7-9 February, 2001

*funded by the IPO

Study Team

- Bruce Gentry (GSFC)
- Upendra Singh (LaRC)
- Michael Kavaya (LaRC)
- Gary Spiers (JPL)
- Bob Menzies (JPL)
- Dave Emmitt (SWA) PI

Outline

- Importance of global wind observations
 - OSE and OSSE results
 - Current wind observing systems
- Accuracy, coverage and resolution
- Role for Doppler wind lidars
 - Direct detection
 - Coherent detection

Primary Current Wind Observing Systems

- Rawinsondes ($\sim 1\text{-}3$ m/s)
- Surface stations (~ 1 m/s)
- ACARS (~ 1 m/s)
- Scatterometer (~ 2 m/s)
- Cloud motion ($5\text{-}7$ m/s)
- Water vapor motion ($4\text{-}5$ m/s)
- Assimilating model's first guess ($\sim 2\text{-}3$ m/s)

Potential new wind observing systems

- Constant level balloons with dropsondes or DWLs
- UAVs for targeted observations
- Higher resolution water vapor winds (GIFTS)
- GPS soundings...derived winds

Data Utility

- Most global wind information results from assimilating direct (t,q,u,v...) observations into numerical models
- 4DVAR data assimilation becoming common
- All observations must “compete” with other observations and model derived “first guesses”
- Consequently the NWP community favors accuracy over coverage if trade is necessary
- Spatial averaging $< .1-.5$ the model resolution (not necessarily the grid size) $\sim 15 - 25$ km averaging for today’s global research models

Direct Detection

- Photon counting
 - spectral bins or channels
 - edge filter
- Broad molecular velocity spectrum
- Narrow aerosol velocity spectrum
- Instrument measurement accuracy is a function of #photons

Coherent Detection

- Heterodyne...frequency shift detection
- Aerosol (and cloud particle) motions
- Inherently accurate (<1 m/s) for SNR $>2-5$ dB
- Data coverage is a function of threshold sensitivity ($\sim 10-30$ coherent photons)

Baseline Systems

- Each concept is considered as SOA and is held to 250 watts for the laser subsystems and flown in a 400 km orbit
- Non-scanning (for ease of comparison) with an along track product resolution of 200 km
- LOSP $\sigma_{\text{Direct}} = 2.43 \text{ m/s}$ at 3.5 km AGL
- LOSP $\sigma_{\text{Coherent}} = .15 \text{ m/s}$ at 3.5 km AGL
- $\beta(90)$ for coherent system = $1.4 \text{ E-9 m}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1}$

Target Data Product

- An LOSP with a normally distributed error of $\sigma = 1$ m/s
- Horizontal resolution: 100 X 100 km
- Vertical resolution: 1 km (worst case)
- Minimum swath width: 1300 km (50% coverage of equatorial band)
- Useful data product obtained 90% of time from 3-4 km layer when intervening cloud fraction is $< 50\%$

Scaled Rayleigh System

ORBIT	EAP	PWR
Baseline	6.2	.25 KW
400 KM	2474 (400X)	25 KW*
833 km	6630 (1060X)	67 KW*

* assumes a 2 meter telescope

Scaled Coherent System

ORBIT	EAP	PWR
Baseline	2.4	.25KW
400 km	49 (20X)	1.2 KW*
833 km	118(49X)	3.0 KW*

* assumes a 1 meter telescope

Hybrid System Data Products

(400 km orbit)

Sub-system	Resolution (km)	Soundings* (#)	LOSP σ (m/s)
Rayleigh	300	266	1.6
Coherent	100	1864	.71**

* number of LOS soundings per orbit (may involve shot accumulation per sounding)

** $\beta (90) = 5.4 \text{ E-}8 \text{ (} 6.8 \text{ E-}8 \text{) m}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1}$