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INTRODUCTION WITH GOALS

The goal of our segment of the Unified Physical Parameterization for Extended Forecasts DRI continues from prior reporting periods to provide observations related to the partitioning of atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) fluxes into two contributions: eddy diffusivity and vertical mass fluxes (EDMF). In addition to the field campaign, the goal is to validate the existing EDMF expressions and to propose alternatives or modifications to those formalisms.

These goals are being pursued using data sets obtained from the CIRPAS Twin Otter flown in two differing regions: off the coast of California near Monterey and over portions of the Dugway Proving grounds in Utah. Data provided by UCI (Khelif) from the Controlled Towed Platform (CTV) has also been incorporated into our investigations.

OBJECTIVES

The general objective is to collaborate with NRL (Wang), UCI (Khelif) and the general numerical modeling community working with the WRF and COAMPS to use airborne sensors to probe the ABL for organizing structures such as OLEs and LLJs and, using models when appropriate, construct algorithms that would relate space-based sensor observables to the varying structure dependent ABL fluxes. Two regions have been used to collect data for the evaluation and modification of the EDMF formalisms:
1. In areas of neutral to stable ABLs capped by cloud cover ranging from zero to 100%, measure the fluxes at the air-sea interface using the TODWL and CTV instruments flown together near the California coastline in September 2012.

2. Using the MATERHORN experiment at Dugway, UT in October 2012 as an opportunity to expand the DWL data base to include ABL surveys in complex terrain as a comparison to the ABL over cold water. Note that the MATERHORN was co-funded by ONR (Ferek).

As this research has progressed, we have added a modeling component to better understand the implications of ABL structures being revealed by the TODWL during the September and October 2012 field campaigns.

**GENERAL APPROACH**

The work on objective one above continues as we examine several cases in 2012 where the CTV was operating adequately and the ABL contained OLEs and LLJs needed for our investigation. The first important step was to navigate the data from the two systems so that their data sets could be joined. This was not as easy as it seemed since the CTV did not fly faithfully directly below the Twin Otter. This platform relative navigation has been done.

The second step is to compute heat, moisture and momentum fluxes for both the CTV and Twin Otter flux sensors. The computation of fluxes from the CTV will be done by both UCI (Khelif) and SWA (Emmitt).

The third step is to determine the correlations between ABL structures and the fluxes. The end product is envisioned as being a set of PDFs for mass flux contributions as a function of regional model representations of the BL including space-based observations that can be used to infer organized structures such as LLJs (ASCAT and CALIPSO) and OLEs (MODIS, VIIRS and GEOS).

The fourth step is to evaluate several modifications to the EDMF as currently being implemented in models. These modifications would attempt to improve the representation of boundary layer roll (convective and dynamically driven) contribution to the total energy and mass fluxes.

A final effort will be made to relate the fluxes measured at flux tower sites with those measured onboard the Twin Otter during flybys. During those passes the winds and aerosols were mapped by the TODWL. These data sets will be explored for relationships between the BL organization and flux intensities.

**WORK COMPLETED**

In the final half of year 3/4 on this project, we have processed more than 50 hours of TODWL data from both the Monterey and DPG areas. We have combined the information from the TODWL, CTV and Twin Otter sensors to establish the relationship between the local fluxes and the energetic of LLJs and OLEs. The WRF model has been setup and run for the 7 MATERHORN missions and comparisons between the TODWL wind profiles and the model profiles have been completed for one day.
The Triad Interaction Model for OLEs has been modified by Foster to investigate “stacked OLEs” seen in the April 2007 datasets.

We continue to modify the EDMF (Eddy Diffusivity and Mass Flux) parameterization to account for the differences between thermally driven convection and dynamically driven vertical transports.

Emmitt and de Wekker are using the WRF and COAMPs models to test out sensitivities to changes in the EDMF related to our field data. We have received several versions of the EDMF from Joao Teixeira for testing.

RESULTS

Most of the results of our last year’s research effort were reported at the DRI Workshop in Monterey in August 2014, the annual AGU meeting in December 2013 and at a SPIE Conference in September 2014 in Amsterdam. Five of these presentations are appended to this report:

Boundary Layer Rolls Observed Above and Below a Jet in a Marine Boundary Layer. Presented at AGU 2013 by Ralph C. Foster; George D. Emmitt; Kevin Godwin; and Steven Greco

Investigating the impacts of LLJs and OLEs on ABL exchanges and transports using an airborne Doppler wind lidar. Presented at AGU 2013 by G.D. Emmitt¹, R.C. Foster², K. Godwin³ and S. Greco¹

Vertical transport of TKE within Organized Large Eddies. Presented at the DRI workshop 2014 by G. D. Emmitt (SWA), S. de Wekker (UVA) and R. Foster (UWA)

EDMF in the Near-Neutrally Stratified Boundary Layer. Presented at the DRI workshop 2014 by R. Foster and G.D. Emmitt


In addition to the presentations provided as appendices, individual reports by Ralph Foster and Stephan de Wekker are also attached. The material presented by de Wekker combines MATERHORN research results that were funded by ONR, ARO and NSF. This work lays the foundation for UPP related investigations that will be carried out in Year 4.

RELATED PROJECTS

ONR contract to study the utilization of Doppler wind lidar (DWL) data to quantify the contribution of organized large eddies (OLEs) to fluxes in the marine boundary layer (DYNAMO DRI (S. Harper, TPOC). This funded effort is now complete.

PLANS FOR YEAR 5

We have three primary goals for year 5 of this effort.
1. Finish the application of a methodology (developed last year) for joining airborne DWL data and in situ flux data to achieve an understanding of how the organized ABL structures modulate ABL fluxes.

2. Develop an expression for mass fluxes by OLEs in the MBL that is suitable for use in numerical model parameterizations. This will be derived from flux data measured directly by the CTV (Monterey) and towers (Dugway) and associated with organized ABL structures mapped from the DWL.

3. Use the COAMPS, WRF and SCM to investigate the sensitivity of these models to the ranges of values for the “MF” term as inferred from the Monterey and Dugway data sets.

We will pursue publishing our results should the findings of this research merit this effort.
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An important part of our project is to use the Doppler wind lidar (DWL) data to guide in the development of a parameterization of roll OLE contributions to the PBL fluxes. The target for this parameterization is the eddy diffusivity/Mass flux (EDMF) PBL parameterization. As currently implemented, the EDMF parameterizes the non-gradient fluxes that are induced by convective plumes using a mass flux scheme. The plume contribution parameterization is based LES of shear-free convection. However, when shear is important, and even into the very near-neutral stratification regime, the dominant form of non-gradient fluxes is due to roll OLE, which are much more challenging to study and parameterize using LES.

For example, an EDMF model must be capable of capturing complex PBL transitions such as in off-ice flow in high latitudes. The PBL is slightly stable over pack ice, yet rolls are observed in this regime. Over water, that flow gains significant surface buoyancy flux and the rolls strengthen, broaden and deepen. Farther off-shore, they experience an up-scale transition and ultimately break down into O(25-50 km) convection. At present, the EDMF parameterizes the small-scale, largely shear-driven small-scale turbulence with the ED part and the convective regime after the rolls break down. However, in the near neutral stable and the moderately unstable regimes where rolls are present, the EDMF model has no mechanism for parameterizing their non-gradient contribution to the PBL fluxes.

Our strategy is to use the DWL observations to calibrate and validate a general model for roll OLE that can be used to develop a roll parameterization for the EDMF. Roll OLE, which are often mischaracterized as either convective rolls or shear rolls are in general due to a combined shear and convective instability that reaches a quasi-equilibrium state in which finite amplitude rolls are maintained in a slightly modified mean state. This mechanism is well-established in the literature, most recently for the hurricane boundary layer by Foster (2005), Zhu (2008) and Nakanishi and Niino (2012). However, both our DWL observations and the numerical modeling indicate that single-mode rolls, while capturing the basic OLE flow, are insufficient to describe the variability in the PBL.

As previously reported, the general, nonlinear “single-mode” model described in Foster (2005) has been expanded to capture the nonlinear energy transfer among resonant triads of PBL modes. Resonant triads are the fundamental building blocks of the nonlinear interactions between fluid motions at different scales. Energy transferred from motions of different spatial scales into or out of a particular mode comes from modes whose wave vectors form a triangle with the wave vector for the mode in question (Fig. 1). The standard single-mode nonlinear stability analysis (e.g. Foster. 2005) will thus omit these “wave-wave interaction” pathways by which energy can flow from the dominant mode into more slowly-growing modes. Our first effort worked on the much simpler case in which the triad modes are all colinear.

In this year, we significantly expanded the model to include the nonlinear interactions between non-colinear triads. The quasi-equilibrium solutions continue to change with time, but through a limited flow regime. And at any particular time, the solutions vary spatially. The solution space is complex, but so far the results are realistic and appear to agree with simpler models (e.g. Dubos et al., 2008). A snapshot of a particular solution is shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The dominant roll OLE are oriented about 19° from the down-wind direction. The triad includes a smaller-scale mode oriented about 17° from the dominant mode and a larger-scale mode about 46° from the dominant mode. The overturning circulation induced by the roll OLE is best seen in the x-z cross-sections taken at different down-roll positions (Fig. 2). The variability in the overturning circulation strength and shape is evident, which can be seen most clearly in the vertical velocity. The along-roll
velocity also varies in strength along the rolls. Fig. 3 shows the horizontal perturbation wind vectors at near the surface and in the mid-PBL near the surface, the along-roll component dominates. In the mid-PBL, the overturning circulation dominates. The roll circulation is clearly three-dimensional and varies in both time and space. Efforts in this year will focus on understanding the non-colinear triad interactions in this simple case. This study is an important advance in PBL roll theory and is worthy of publication. Once the new (and more complex) mathematics is more carefully checked and better understood, it will be applied to the DWL observations.

Zhu (2008) proposed that roll OLE fluxes could be parameterized using the mass-flux paradigm. A key difference is that standard mass flux modeling assumes that the vertical velocity is highly skewed with a small updraft fraction. For roll OLE, the vertical velocity is only slightly skewed and the updraft fraction is closer to 50%. For a single roll OLE situation, the skewness, updraft fraction and mass flux, 

\[ (1-\sigma)(w_{up}-w_{dn}) \]

are well behaved and similar to the calculations in Zhu (2008) (Fig. 4 and 5). As shown, OLE roll fluxes are inconsistent with eddy-diffusivity modeling. However, the mass flux parameterization is relatively well-behaved.

In support of the application to the EDMF, we applied the colinear triad interaction model to the OLE observations over Monterey Bay. Previously, we made a case that the mean PBL structure was likely due to advection of over-land PBL air over the thin marine layer in the bay. The mean flow indicated an elevated PBL jet at the intersection between the air masses. The observations showed a likely “stacked-OLE” configuration in which OLE were separately generated in each layer and interacted across the jet. The triad model showed greater and lesser interaction between the layers above and below the jet that varied in spatially and temporally, which was consistent with the observations (Fig. 6). Note the change in the Skewness profiles above the level of the mid-PBL jet.

In this case, consistent with the DWL data, Twin Otter fluxes and towed platform fluxes, the OLE-induced skewness is much more complex. Understanding and modeling correctly this process will be a focus in the upcoming year. In particular, we will need to implement the non-colinear roll OLE model and apply it to the Monterey PBL conditions.

Joao Teixeira’s research group at JPL has provided us with an implementation of the EDMF PBL model that we can use to experiment with implementing the OLE MF contribution.

References:


Figure 1: Typical non-colinear roll OLE triad for simple PBL mean flow. Contours show the instability growth rate. Dominant mode is labeled $B$. Sub-modes are $A$ and $C$. Construction shows that $A = B + C$ as required.
Figure 2: Cross-sections in the x-z plane at two locations along the dominant roll mode direction (mode B from Fig. 1). Upper panels color shading show vertical velocity. Lower panels color shading shows along-mode B OLE perturbation velocity. Vectors show the overturning circulation ($U, W$) perpendicular to the mode B OLE axes.
Figure 3: Horizontal cross sections (x-y) through the vertical velocity. Left panel is nearer the surface and right panel is at the mid-PBL. Vectors show the along-across horizontal velocities. Near the surface, the along-mode B component dominates. In the mid-PBL, the overturning component begins to dominate. The secondary circulation due to rolls is three-dimensional.
Figure 4: Upper panel pair: Snapshot of colinear roll OLE triad solution for a simple PBL mean flow. Upper shows vertical velocity and overturning stream function. Lower shows along-roll perturbation velocity. Lower left panel: updraft fraction. Lower right panel: vertical velocity skewness.
Figure 5: Example across-roll momentum flux. Upper panel, wu velocity product with overturning streamfunction contoured. Lower left: effective eddy viscosity needed if these fluxes are modeled using the eddy diffusivity approach. Lower right, momentum flux modeled using mass flux approach.
Figure 6: Upper panels: two snapshot shots of the vertical velocity for the nonlinear, colinear triad model for a mean flow profile similar to the DWL observations over Monterey Bay, 2012. Lower left: mean flow profile used to generate the solution shown in the upper panels. Lower right: vertical velocity skewness from the triad model. Note that the skewness can be either positive or negative near the surface, which is consistent with the observations.
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Reporting period: 1 July 2013-30 June 2014

The planned tasks for year 3 of this project that involved subcontractor UVA were:

Task 3.1 – Processing and analysis (including algorithm and software development) of new TODWL data after completion of field program

Task 3.3 – Analysis of turbulence obtained from the standard instruments on the Twin Otter

Task 3.7 – Analyze TODWL data and compare with Radiosonde data (UVA and SWA)

Accomplishments:

During year 3, a majority of the effort was in the analysis of TODWL research flights. The UVA contribution was focused on investigating of turbulence and boundary layer structure over land, in particular during the ONR funded MATERHORN field campaign. Seven research flights in total were conducted during the MATERHORN field campaign.

We analyzed PBL heights from the TODWL data for all research flights. An example of the results for five flight legs oriented north-south for the morning of 10 October 2012 is shown in Fig. 1. A terrain following PBL height is evident with maximum heights up to about 2000 m. The TODWL derived PBL heights compare well with PBL height derived from temperature profiles from radiosonde and unmanned aerial vehicle measurements.

A typical feature of the boundary layer structure around Granite Peak is the presence of layers with large amounts of shear in wind speed and direction. We hypothesize the presence of these layers play an important role in modulating turbulence intensities and turbulence structures. An example is shown for the afternoon of 14 October 2012 for a north-south oriented flight leg east of Granite Peak. In a layer below the PBL height at around 2200 m, there was large shear in wind speed and direction, especially on the northeastern part of Granite Peak (Fig. 2). In situ measurements from the Twin Otter aircraft indicate enhancement of turbulence kinetic energy on the northern part of this flight leg (Fig. 3). The low level Twin Otter flights were designed to prospect for organized convective structures and for quantifying vertical heat and momentum fluxes associated with these structures. Forward stares from the lidar indicate the presence of organized structures that align well with the in-situ turbulence measurements (Fig. 4)
Figure 1: (a) Box-and-whisker plot of the PBL heights derived from aerosol backscatter profiles along the north-south flight legs (b) performed during a morning TODWL mission between 1012 and 1052 MDT on 10 October 2012. The horizontal line in the box and the bottom and top line of the box show the median of the data, and the lower and upper quartiles (25% and 75%), respectively. The whiskers show the minimum and maximum values while the solid star is the mean value. Vertical potential temperature profiles obtained over the Playa and Sagebrush sites are shown on the western and eastern part of the domain. Also shown is the potential temperature profiles obtained from DATAHAWK (UAV) measurements located between TODWL legs L2 and L3.

Figure 2: Vertical profiles of TODWL derived wind speed and direction along a north-south flight leg east of Granite Peak (a) and an east-west flight leg over Granite Peak (b) on the afternoon of 14 October 2012.
Figure 3: From top to bottom, horizontal profile of turbulence kinetic energy, vertical velocity v at two heights, and topography below a flight leg east of Granite Peak on 14 October 2012.

Figure 4: From top to bottom: w, u, and v—wind component along a flight leg east of Granite peak on 14 October 2012. The flight leg shown here is about 10 km further to the east than the flight leg shown in Fig. 3. Shown in the bottom two panels are the line of sight velocity and the signal-to-noise ratio of a forward stare on the same flight leg. In these panels, coherent turbulence structures are visible that align well with velocity fluctuations from the in-situ aircraft measurements.
These coherent turbulence structures are sub-grid scale for regional to global scale models and therefore one would expect an EDMF based parameterization scheme to represent the effects of these structures on the turbulent transport. We are currently collaborating with Dr. James Doyle at NRL in the use of the COAMPS to simulate these MATERHORN case studies. Doyle’s group has now implemented the EDMF scheme in COAMPS and will perform simulations for one of the intensive operational periods during MATERHORN. Simulations will be done with and without EDMF parameterization at horizontal grid spacing of 4,3,2, and 1 km in the innermost domain with the overall goal to evaluate the sensitivity of the simulations to ED and MF parameters in the EDMF parameterizations. PBL structure and the simulated fluxes of heat and momentum in the PBL will be compared against the in-situ and lidar measurements from the Twin Otter flights. In addition, De Wekker has continued a collaboration with the Research Application Laboratory at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR-RAL – Knievel and Liu) to perform ‘very large eddy simulations’ (VLES) with a 300 m grid spacing for the various days of the research flights. Idealized simulation have also been performed in collaboration with the University of Vienna (Serafin). Output will in the next year be analyzed to detect the presence of coherent structures similar as in the observations and quantify their effect on turbulence fluxes. The general question we will investigate is whether the effect of these structures are properly represented in an EDMF based PBL parameterization.

A post-doc (Sandip Pal) and a graduate student (Mark Sghiatti) at UVA will contribute to the analysis of the model output and comparisons with observations during the next year. Graduate student Mark Sghiatti successfully defended his Master thesis proposal entitled “Spatial Variability of Turbulent Kinetic Energy and Turbulent Fluxes around an Isolated Mountain”. He is expected to graduate in fall 2015.

Publications related to the project:
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More than 50 hours of TODWL data from both the Monterey and DPG areas has been processed. We are continuing efforts to combine the information from the TODWL, CTV and Twin Otter sensors to establish the relationship between the local fluxes and the energetics of LLJs and OLEs. Effort has also continued on modifying the EDMF parameterization to account for the differences between thermally driven convection and dynamically driven vertical transports. We continue to modify the EDMF parameterization to account for the differences between thermally driven convection and dynamically driven vertical transports.

OLE, EDMF, Doppler Wind Lidar